UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,432	11/16/2007	Zhongxin Ge	1034136-000035	2929
21839 7590 04/19/2011 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			MCCRACKEN, DANIEL	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1736	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/19/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/594,432	GE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL C. MCCRACKEN	1736
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 I 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 2-4 and 7-16 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 2-4 and 7-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be supported to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applic Ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Citation to the Specification will be in the following format: (S. # : ¶/L) where # denotes

the page number and ¶/L denotes the paragraph number or line number. Citation to patent

literature will be in the form (Inventor # : LL) where # is the column number and LL is the line

number. Citation to the pre-grant publication literature will be in the following format (Inventor

 $\#:\P$) where # denotes the page number and \P denotes the paragraph number.

Status of Application

The response dated 3/30/2011 has been received and will be entered. Claims 2-4 and 7-16

are pending. Claim 7 is currently amended. Claims 1, 5-6 and 17-20 are acknowledged as

cancelled.

The prior indication of allowable subject matter is withdrawn in light of newly

discovered prior art. On additional reconsideration, certain issues were noted with respect to

Claim 7 and the groups recited therein. An additional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 appears

infra.

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §112

I. With respect to the rejection of Claims 7-16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention, this rejection is WITHDRAWN in light of the amendment

deleting "a protective group."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites "Q" and states that "Q is o-methyl." Dependent Claim 9 states that Q is a methoxy group. While the same language appears in the specification - see e.g. (S. 7: 8 et seq.) - it is unclear whether "o-methyl" was a typographical error for "O-methyl" (denoting an oxygen bonded to a methyl group or a "methoxy group") or whether this refers to "ortho-methyl," i.e. there is a benzene ring bound to the PEG, with a methyl group "ortho" to the PEG. All dependent claims not specifically addressed import the issues associated with Claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

I. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,538,153 to Hirsch, et al. in view of (i) US 6,471,942 to Miller, et al., (2) Tabata, et al., Biological functions of fullerene, Pure Appl. Chem. 1999; 71(11): 2047-2053 (hereinafter "Tabata at __").

With respect to <u>Claims 2-4</u>, Hirsch teaches fullerenes functionalized with the product of malonyl and glycol moieties. See (Hirsch 2: 40 et seq., 4: 56 et seq. – teaching glycols). To the extent Hirsch may not teach ethylene glycol, this is an obvious expedient. Polyethylene glycol moieties are useful in fullerene chemistry as facilitating dispersal or solubility, and the Examiner takes official notice that they are. In support of taking official notice, i.e. in making sure there is substantial evidence on the record, the Examiner provides Miller and Tabata. See (Miller 3: 14-31) and (Tabata at 2048) ("Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) was selected as the water-soluble polymer for C₆₀ conjugation because it has been widely used for chemical modification of drugs

due to simple conjugation chemistry."). Note that Hirsch too identifies drug delivery/pharmaceuticals as a use for the fullerenes therein, so there is motivation in Hirsch to use moieties that facilitate solubility. See e.g. (Hirsch 1: 41 et seq.). Note that various (e.g. ethyl) malonyl groups are suggested. (Hirsch 2: 36 et seq.). Finally, to the extent Hirsch doesn't teach the trimetallic nitride endohedral metallofullerenes, these – and the hydroxyl groups – are taught by Miller as well. See (Miller 2: 49-49; 3: 20-25). The combination would appear to reflect application of known malonate chemistry (Hirsch) to known fullerenes (Miller) in a predictable manner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL C. MCCRACKEN whose telephone number is (571)272-6537. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM - 6 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Daniel C. McCracken/ Daniel C. McCracken Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1736 DCM Application/Control Number: 10/594,432

Page 6

Art Unit: 1736